

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 GEOFFREY PETERSON and BRANDON ) 1:12-cv-1832 LJO GSA  
10 MILLER, individually and on behalf of all )  
11 others similarly situated, )  
12 Plaintiffs, )  
13 v. )  
14 WALGREEN COMPANY and DOES 1 )  
15 through 100, )  
16 Defendants. )  
17

---

18 ) **ORDER AFTER SCHEDULING**  
19 ) **CONFERENCE**

20 On February 12, 2012, a scheduling conference was held. (Doc. 15). Plaintiffs' counsel  
21 Craig Ackerman, and Defendant's counsel, Diana Tabacopoulos and Jill Porcaro appeared  
22 telephonically. The scheduling conference was continued until March 23, 2013 at 10:00 a.m.  
23 before the undersigned.

24 No later than five (5) court days prior to the next hearing, the parties shall submit a joint  
25 scheduling report. In the joint scheduling report, the parties shall address the following :

26 1) Whether Defendant will stipulate to the filing of Plaintiff's amended complaint filed  
27 on February 5, 2013 (if this issue is not already resolved);

28 2) Whether any dispositive motions are anticipated, a proposed deadline for the filing of  
such motions, and a proposed schedule for any discovery related to the motions; and

3) If dispositive motions will not be filed, the parties shall submit proposed dates for the completion of class certification discovery and the filing of class certification motions. The parties are advised that the Court requires thirty (30) days between the filing of the motion, the opposition, and any reply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated:** February 19, 2013

**/s/ Gary S. Austin**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE